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PROCEEDINGS
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Good morning. We're here in Docket DE 18-177, which is Eversource's Petition for the Continuation of the Reliability Enhancement Program. Before we do anything else, let's take appearances.

MR. FOSSUM: Good afternoon, Commissioners. And I guess it needs to be said for a third time today. I am Matthew Fossum, here for Public Service Company of New Hampshire, doing business as Eversource Energy.

MR. KREIS: Good afternoon. I am D. Maurice Kreis, the Consumer Advocate, here on behalf of the residential utility customers of this fine investor-owned utility. And with me today is the OCA Director of Finance, Mr . James Brennan.

MS. AMIDON: So, happy holidays.
I'm Suzanne Amidon, here for Commission Staff.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: How are we proceeding this afternoon?

MR. FOSSUM: This afternoon the
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[WITNESS PANEL: Allen|Purington|Goulding]

Company intends to present a panel consisting of the three people who were on the filing that was made for continuation of the program. And that's how we would propose to proceed.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
MR. FOSSUM: The only other note I would make is $I$ have marked with the clerk for identification that filing, the November 16th filing, as Exhibit 1 for identification.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. If there's nothing else, why don't we have the witnesses come up to the witness box.

Would you do the honors, please. (WHEREUPON, ROBERT ALLEN, JOSEPH PURINGTON AND CHRISTOPHER GOULDING were duly sworn and cautioned by the Court Reporter.)

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. FOSSUM:
Q. All right. We'll start with Mr. Purington. Mr. Purington, I'll ask you a question, and the same question will be to all three of
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you.
Could you please state your name, your place of employment and your responsibilities for the record.
A. (Purington) My name is Joseph Purington. I am the vice-president of electric operations for PSNH, doing business as Eversource. My responsibilities include electric operations, which includes the transmission and distribution of control centers, the line work force and the substation work force.
Q. Thank you. Mr. Allen, the same to you.
A. (Allen) Yes, good afternoon. My name's Robert Allen. I'm the manager of vegetation management for Eversource. My responsibilities include distribution vegetation management in New Hampshire and Massachusetts.
A. (Goulding) My name is Christopher Goulding. I'm the manager of New Hampshire Revenue Requirements, employed by Eversource Service Company. I'm in charge of implementation and coordination of rights and revenue calculations associated with the distribution
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rates, energy service rates, stranded cost recovery charge rates, and system benefit charge rates.
Q. Thank you. Mr. Goulding, I'm going to leave you out of the next couple of questions.

Mr. Purington, have you ever testified before this Commission?
A. (Purington) No, sir.
Q. In that case, could you please give a brief description of your education and experience for the record.
A. (Purington) Yes. I have an associate's degree from Southern Maine Community College and a bachelor's degree from Southern New Hampshire University. I have 30 years in electric utility operations, based all of it in operations. Arrived here in New Hampshire in February of 2014 in my current position. Prior to that, I served as the director of system operations at Iberdrola USA, which included responsibilities for the control center transmission; distribution of gas at Rochester Gas \& Electric in Rochester, New York; at NYSEG, New York State Electric and
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Gas at Binghamton, New York; and Central Maine Power in Augusta, Maine.
Q. Thank you. And Mr. Allen, have you testified before this Commission previously?
A. (Allen) No, sir.
Q. In that case, could you please also provide an overview of your education and experience for the record.
A. (Allen) Sure. I have an associate's agree in arbor culture from the Stockbridge School of Agriculture at University of Massachusetts Amherst. This is my 40th year in arbor culture, the last 31 with Eversource or its predecessor companies. I've worked in Connecticut, Massachusetts and New Hampshire.

I came up here in 2009 after the ice storm and took over as supervisor in vegetation management. Prior to coming to Eversource, I worked in residential, commercial and municipal arbor culture.
Q. Thank you. Now turning back to a question for all three of you. Back on November 16th, and as part of what has been marked for identification as Exhibit 1, did you file a
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technical statement? We'll go just down the line.
A. (Purington) Yes.
A. (Allen) Yes.
A. (Goulding) Yes.
Q. And that technical statement and the information in there, was that prepared by you or at your direction?
A. (Purington) Yes.
A. (Allen) Yes.
A. (Goulding) Yes.
Q. And do you adopt that statement and the associated information as your testimony for this proceeding?
A. (Purington) Yes.
A. (Allen) Yes.
A. (Goulding) Yes.
Q. Thank you. Now I guess I'll direct this to Mr. Goulding. Could you please just provide a very brief overview of the Company's request in this filing and technical statement.
A. (Goulding) Sure. So as a little background, the REP has been in place for a number of
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years, and there's been improvement in reliability due to the activities done under this program. The Company recognizes that the REP was not going to last indefinitely and presented this filing after discussions with Staff and OCA as a bridge to a rate case that we have agreed to file in 2019. As noted in our tech statement, the proposal is to address the treatment of vegetation management costs that are currently being capitalized, treat those as O\&M effective January 1st, 2019; continue the Troubleshooter Program funding at $\$ 2$ million a year; continuation of funding to support the REP investments through the end of 2018; a distribution rate adjustment to account for changes effective January 1st, 2018 that were to recover consulting costs and PUC
assessment costs and Medicare adjustment -or Medicare tax-deferral refund adjustment; and a commitment to file a comprehensive rate review in 2019, using 2018 calendar-year data. Additionally, to fund the extension of this program, we were going to defer -- we
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propose to defer the costs associated with this program above a level that's currently in rates, and then at the earliest of our exogenous event filing a rate change, which would be July 1st, or a temporary rate change as part of a rate review. We will offset those costs with the deferred tax dollars that are being deferred on behalf of customers as a result of the tax change that occurred January 1st, 2018.
Q. Thank you. I just have a few questions for direct, and I'll just take them in the order in which the issues show up in the Technical Statement. So I'll start with you, Mr. Allen.

In the Technical Statement there's discussion of various types of trimming activities, specifically enhanced tree trimming, full-width right-of-way clearing, hedge and tree removals. Are these the same types of activities that have previously been undertaken by the Company?
A. (Allen) Yes, those have been in the previous REPs up to 2018, where full-width clearing
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was not in it.
Q. And are these activities above and beyond what's undertaken for normal maintenance trimming?
A. (Allen) Yes, they are.
Q. Mr. Allen, there's some numbers in there, funding levels for these vegetation management activities. Are those -- could you describe whether those -- how those proposed funding levels align with previous amounts for the same activities?
A. (Allen) Sure. In 2016, the REP -- or in 2018, I'm sorry, the REP for vegetation management was $\$ 6$ million; so, $\$ 4$ million for ETT and $\$ 2$ million for hazard tree removal. Prior to that it had been higher funding. But our base budget also includes those activities. So, for this year, in 2018, the total for our hazard tree removal was \$10 million.
Q. And so the proposed levels -- would it be fair to say the proposed levels in 2019 are effectively consistent with what they have been?
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A. (Allen) Yes, that's true.
Q. Is it your position that the proposed amounts of funding are reasonable and appropriate?
A. (Allen) Yes.
Q. Mr. Allen, assuming the Commission is inclined to approve the budget levels that have been proposed, would the Company have any issue securing a work force to carry out these activities and to get this work done?
A. (Allen) That's a great question. It is not an issue for us to get those crews. So after the ice storm in 2008, we had 85 tree crews on the system when I came up here in 2009. We currently have 160 tree crews on the system. This year -- and across Eversource, we have 450 crews. So we have a large amount of tree crews on our system. This year we spent some time in our sister companies helping out with storm stuff. In Massachusetts and Connecticut in March, there were three Nor'easters in a row, and we went down there and helped to get those folks back into power, not unlike what happened a couple years ago with the Thanksgiving storm up here
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in New Hampshire where they came up and helped us. So that's the benefit of having a large company and having all those tree crews at our disposal. So I'm comfortable and confident that we can get the work done with the crews we have on our roster now.
Q. And finally, Mr. Allen, is it your position that the activities proposed in the Company's filing are reasonable and appropriate for this Reliability Enhancement Program?
A. (Allen) Yes. Trees remain our largest contributor to outages. It goes back and forth between Maine and New Hampshire. And Joe can maybe testify on that as well. We're both heavily forested states. So currently I think Maine is the most forested state, and New Hampshire is No. 2. But the fact of the matter is we're always going to have trees that grow above our wires. So having these programs that allow us to remove those trees and get clearances necessary is appropriate.
Q. Thank you. Moving down to the next issue, at least as presented in the Technical

Statement. Mr. Purington, there's discussion
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in the Technical Statement regarding troubleshooters. Could you please explain the inclusion of the troubleshooters for 2019.
A. (Purington) Yes. The proposal to remove them from the REP was based on the thought we would be filing a rate case in late 2018. As noted in the filing, we have committed to filing a rate case in 2019, using 2018 as a test year. Given the delay in the filing until next year, we concluded that having a bridge for those employees until a rate filing would be appropriate.
Q. So has funding for the troubleshooters previously been a part of the REP?
A. (Purington) Yes, that's correct. About half the funding for the Troubleshooter Program has previously been part of the REP, and that funding has been supporting the reliability work of these employees as identified in the tech statement.
Q. And so that for 2019 , it would essentially just be a continuation of the existing, of the status quo for that program.
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A. (Purington) That's correct.
Q. And as to the final issue as identified in the Technical Statement, for you, Mr. Goulding, could you please explain the revenue requirement numbers, sort of how you got to those numbers that are shown and described in the Technical Statement.
A. (Goulding) Yes. So it probably makes sense to turn to Bates Page 22 of the filing. So, on Bates Page 22 we have a summary of the kind of current funding and also the 2019 funding and just the changes so it's easy to identify what's moving, what the moving parts are.

So, for 2019, it has $\$ 2$ million of troubleshooter funding to continue for 2019. We removed the O\&M portion of capital because the Bridge Program doesn't have any additional REP capital work being done under it. And then we have the adjustment to account for the treatment of vegetation management going from capital item to expense item effective January 1st, 2019, and that's the $\$ 16.8$ million. And then we have the
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return and the depreciation expenses associated with the investments, the REP investments, through December 31st, 2018. And that gives a total revenue requirement of $\$ 33.9$ million. And we have a forecasted over recovery for year end $12 / 31 / 2018$ of $\$ 958,000$, which gives us a total revenue requirement necessary of $\$ 32.9$ million. And the current annual REP funding is $\$ 18.063$ million, so there is a deficiency of $\$ 14.9$ million. And that was the $\$ 14.9$ million that we talked about -- or I mentioned earlier we were going to defer the revenue deficiency associated with continuing the program above the levels of the current annual REP funding, and then come July 1st, when the exogenous event rate change is necessary for our rate case filing is made, we would offset any deferred REP dollars with the deferred tax dollars that are being deferred on customers' behalf.
Q. Mr. Goulding, with respect to that
\$14 million that you were just discussing, that's all associated with vegetation
management; is that correct?
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A. (Goulding) The primary driver of the change is the vegetation management. If you look over at the Difference column, you'll see a slight decrease for the O\&M portion of capital, but then there's the $\$ 16.8$ million increase associated with the vegetation management.
Q. And that 16.8 that's included in the REP, how does that compare to the cost of similar activities done prior to 2019?
A. (Goulding) In 2018, the projected year-end spending -- or investment level for ETT/hazard tree removal/ROW clearing between the Base Capital Program and the REP Capital Program is projected at $\$ 19$ million. So it's comparable.
Q. And so just because I want to be very clear on this point, would it be fair to say that what the Company does presently, or funds presently out of both its base rates and REP will be rolled exclusively into the REP for these activities?
A. (Goulding) Yes, because they were both capitalized before as part of the base and
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then part of our REP. So the order was issued to change the treatment from being treated as capital effective January 1st, so we had to treat the base portion and the REP portion as O\&M items going forward. So that's why they're included as an adjustment here in the REP filing.
Q. Thank you. And just as a last question, could you just very quickly run through the other adjustments that are identified in the Technical Statement and explain what's going on there. Again, it's written in the statement, so just very briefly.
A. (Goulding) Yeah, I think it might be easiest to probably just turn to Bates Page -- let's start with Bates Page 45. So last year there was a rate change on January 1st, 2018, in Docket DE 17-160. And that was to increase our rates to recover $\$ 430,569$ of consulting costs. What we experienced in 2018 is $\$ 51,400$. This is on Bates Page 45. So on Line 17, because we already have $\$ 430,000$ in our rates and we only need $\$ 51,000$, we would reduce the recovery amount by $\$ 379,000$. So
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I'll pause on that one for a second and turn to Bates Page 44.

Additionally in that docket last year, 17-160, we were allowed to increase our recovery amounts associated with the NH PUC assessment by $\$ 911,000$. And we already had \$4.1 million in assessment cost recovery in our rates. So that brings the total level in our rates for PUC assessment recovery to $\$ 5.070$ million. But we received the most recent fiscal year assessment bill from the PUC, and it $\$ 4.776$ million. So we would be reducing our rates by $\$ 294,000$.

Now, if we turn to Bates Page 43, you'll see the adjustment levels decreased in the rates by $\$ 294,000$ that we saw on Page 2 and a decrease in the rates for proceeding costs of $\$ 379,000$ that we saw on Page 3. But then there was also a removal of Medicare deferral credit back in Docket No. 17-076. We had adjusted our rates down to give back $\$ 690,000$ of a deferral back to customers, and that was to be over the period of July 1st, 2017 to June 30th, 2018. So we're removing that from
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our rates effective January 1st. So netting those all together results in a change that won't show up in a rate of $\$ 16,700$. So that's why we said there's no rate change associated with those adjustments. We're just looking for approval that we accounted for those correctly.

MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. That's my direct.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kreis. MR. KREIS: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. With your indulgence, I think I'd like to mark another exhibit for identification. So I'll hand that out. This is simply a page from the 2017 report of the Reliability Enhancement Program of PSNH d/b/a Eversource. It was already filed in Docket 17-196, so it's a familiar document I think. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. KREIS:
Q. Just I think the -- go back to my microphone.

In request of clarity, I would like to see how this page, Exhibit 2 from the 2017

Reliability Enhancement Program Report,
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compares to the similar graph that is Bates Page 17 of Exhibit 1, which is the Company's filing in this docket. And so I guess I would maybe ask Mr. Purington, or whichever member of the witness panel that's qualified to do that, to help me understand the difference between the graph on Exhibit 2 and the graph that is Bates Page 17 of Exhibit 1.
A. (Purington) Mr. Kreis, the exhibit in the filing has the Troubleshooter Primary Region SAIDI, compared against the other regions. The previous filing that we referenced here is the whole company SAIDI.
Q. So, in other words, there is no whole company graph in Exhibit 1. Neither of those lines on Bates Page 17 of Exhibit 1 is reflective of the whole company's SAIDI record.
A. (Purington) No, $I$ do not see that.
Q. Okay. The graph from the 2017 report refers to NH PUC criteria, and the graphs
attached -- or excuse me. Exhibit 2 refers to NH PUC criteria, and the graphs in Exhibit 1 refer to IEEE criteria. What's the difference between the NH PUC criteria and
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the IEEE criteria?
A. (Purington) So NH PUC is very similar to the IEEE, based on my understanding, with the exception that the IEEE excludes major event days.
Q. And would it be fair to say, looking at Exhibit 2, that there has been -- that there was an increase in the system, SAIDI system, average -- I forget what the "I" stands for -- duration index from 2015 through 2017, meaning outages were longer, the average outage was longer?
A. (Purington) So refer me again to which one is Exhibit 2?
Q. The document from the 2017 report. And I apologize. I copied it in black and white because I don't have a color copier in my office. The original version of this document was in color. But $I$ think it' still perfectly legible.
A. (Purington) Could you please restate your question, Mr. Kreis.
Q. So would it be fair to say, looking at Exhibit 2, which is the page from the 2017
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report, that the average duration of outages increased? Starting from a low point in 2015, there have been increases in 2016 and 2017?
A. (Purington) Based on that graph, yes.
Q. Do you know if there's a similar trend in 2018?
A. (Purington) 2018 year-to-date was 107 SAIDI. Q. So that would suggest a decrease this year.
A. (Purington) That's correct.
Q. So is that just a matter of weather variations, or is there an explanation for that?
A. (Purington) It can be a matter of weather. It can be a matter of outage cause types and locations as well. You know, for instance, a couple weeks ago we had a logging truck cross a right-of-way interrupting 4,000 customers in Pittsburg, which took us a long time to get to, get up there and get it repaired. So depending on the nature of the outages in that given year and weather, obviously cause type and weather really drive the duration types of damage.
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Q. And is there a reason that the Company switched from using the NH PUC criteria in its 2017 report to the IEEE criteria in the filing it made in this docket?
A. (Purington) No known reason.
Q. Wouldn't it be better to use consistent criteria?
A. (Purington) I would agree. We can provide that.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kreis, do you want him to provide some additional exhibit?

MR. KREIS: I think, Mr. Chairman, I would like to leave that to your discretion. My posture in this docket is to be supportive of the Company's filing, and so I don't have a strong feeling about the necessity. I'm really just making the point that it would be better to use a consistent set of reporting criteria. It really depends on what will make you comfortable in terms of adopting the recommendation that $I$ intend to make at the end of the hearing to adopt and approve the Company's proposal. How's that
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for an unequivocal --
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Exceedingly unhelpful. But I think there is a benefit to using the same standards so that the current filing looks like something in the past, or the past filings are redone using the current. I mean, $I$ don't know if it's going to look any different. I'm guessing it won't look radically different.

MR. KREIS: Indeed. And as I think about it, it is absolutely critical that the Company revise its filings so that it reports its SAIDI results using the NH PUC criteria, because after all this is the NH PUC.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fossum,
would it be possible to get, I think it's some number of pages of Exhibit 1 redone using the PUC criteria or vice versa? Make me an offer.

MR. FOSSUM: Well, I mean, I'll put
it this way: I won't answer right away by saying this, that the Company historically filed REP reports at the end of an REP year, which has not always coincided with the
\{DE 18-177\} [Hearing on the Merits) \{12-18-18\}
[WITNESS PANEL: Allen|Purington|Goulding]
calendar year. There have been consistent reporting metrics in those filings. In the Company's filing last year for a continuation of the REP, we presented an exhibit like this -- actually, $I$ believe we presented it in discovery, and the Staff brought it in as an exhibit. We had been asked to produce this information and thought it would be helpful to produce again using the IEEE criteria. That's my way of explanation for the mismatch here.

To answer your more immediate question, $I$ believe it's possible. And since Mr. Purington has already offered to do so, that we could reproduce $I$ think about five pages of this present filing using the NH PUC criteria and submit those.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
Why don't we have you do that. So we'll reserve Exhibit 3 for that. And thank you Mr. Fossum and Mr. Purington.

MR. KREIS: Thank you, indeed.
BY MR. KREIS:
Q. It's true, is it not -- I think my questions
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are still for Mr. Purington -- that major storm events are excluded from the reliability statistics that you reported both here and reported in the 2017 REP report?
A. (Purington) I would have to validate that.
Q. Subject to check, in other words.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Purington is not an experienced witness here, remember, Mr. Kreis.

MR. KREIS: Trying to help him.
BY MR. KREIS:
Q. Do you think it would be valuable to normalize out small storms from the reliability reports that you make to the Commission?
A. (Purington) I think that would be subjective. You know, in the month of November we had, I think, 11 minor storm days. So I'm not sure the value of excluding those.
Q. Super. I mean thank you.

On the first page of the Technical Statement, which is Bates Page No. 10 in Exhibit 1, there's a reference to the fact that in 2018 Eversource was going to
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construct two circuit ties. Can you -- maybe this is a question for Mr . Goulding. What's the impact to the revenue requirement of those two circuit tie investments?
A. (Goulding) So it was a $\$ 3$ million project, so it would be somewhere in the range of $\$ 400,000$ on an annual basis.
Q. Including depreciation expenses, return on investment, all of that?
A. (Goulding) Yes, return on investment.
Q. Do you expect those two circuit tie projects to be in service by the end of the year, or are they already in service?
A. (Goulding) When I made the filing, that was the expectation, that they were to be in service. So the plan is they would be in service by the end of the year.
Q. The Company uses both its own crews and third-party crews to do tree trimming. Which of those two sources of tree trimming human resources are more expensive to the Company?
A. (Allen) We generally only use contracted tree crews. When Company personnel cuts a tree, it's basically in response to a trouble call
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that they could handle without having a tree crew there.
Q. Has the Company ever considered using in-house resources to do tree trimming?
A. (Allen) I think that's a question that's come up in the past. It's nothing that -- or we haven't done it, to my knowledge. But I believe we have looked into the cost of doing that in a previous incarnation of Eversource, I believe it was Connecticut Light \& Power, and decided it was not cost-efficient.
Q. And is that your opinion here with respect to PSNH?
A. (Allen) My opinion is that we have 160 tree crews that are professionally trained to do the work, and for us to go into this economy and try to find 160 crews would be very difficult. So I think the ones we have now are the ones we should use.

MR. KREIS: I think those are all the questions I have.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Amidon.
MS. AMIDON: Thank you. I have
some questions, and Mr. Demmer will have some
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questions as well.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. AMIDON :
Q. Good afternoon. Have you presented this budget to management for approval at this point?
A. (Goulding) Yes.
Q. And does this proposal propose the same budget you requested?
A. (Goulding) The $\$ 16.8$ million is what's in the budget.
Q. And was that what you requested at the outset?
A. (Goulding) Yes.
Q. Is there any -- and this relates to something I think Mr. Goulding knows. Is there any REP funding in rate base; and if so, what does it pay for?
A. (Goulding) There is no REP funding in base rates. It's all been kind of separated out as part of this REP distribution rate adjustment.
Q. And that's why we see the same, similar levels of funding, but it's now in O\&M? It's
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now an expense; is that right?
A. (Goulding) Are you referring to the base capital vegetation management?
Q. No, I'm talking about just the activities, vegetation management activities.
A. (Goulding) Right. So there is base -there's vegetation management activities that are being done as part of the base capital budget. But there's no O\&M, ETT/hazard tree removal/right-of-way that's being done as part of the base O\&M or base rate -- or O\&M as part of base rates.

MS. AMIDON: Mr. Demmer has a follow-up on that.

MR. DEMMER: So your normal circuit pruning, is that base O\&M or is that base capital?

WITNESS GOULDING: Normal circuit pruning is base O\&M. Sorry, I wasn't clear what the distinction was or what we were referring to.

BY MS. AMIDON :
Q. Thank you. I can't remember. I think it was Mr. Allen. You talked about -- I think you
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referenced a base budget. Do you know what you meant by that word earlier in your testimony today? You used the term "base budget."
A. (Allen) Yeah, it was the base capital budget.
Q. Okay. And I think it was you, Mr. Purington, who used a strange expression on why you're continuing to troubleshoot a program. You said, "Given the delay in filing the rate case, this was a bridge for employees." What did you mean by that?
A. (Purington) Well, as we -- if we move forward without the Troubleshooter Program being in REP, we'd have to make budget adjustments.
Q. And why is that?
A. (Purington) Because we had originally planned in our budget process for this to be a continuation in REP until we got a bridge for the rate case filing.
Q. But that was just something done recently in connection with this filing; right?
A. (Purington) Yes. Our original plan was to file prior to year end, which we'd be moving those employees into the base rates. That
\{DE 18-177\} [Hearing on the Merits) \{12-18-18\}
will be part of our review on the 2019 filing.
Q. And that will be part of Staff's and OCA's review of the rate filing.
A. (Purington) Yes.
Q. And Mr. Goulding, I think you can put this in context for us. I don't need to have something lengthy from you. But basically when you talk about the deferral of rates, that the deferral of the amount was \$14 million in rates, you're referencing the reduction in the corporate tax rate effective January 1; is that right?
A. (Goulding) That would be the offset, yes.
Q. And the Commission dealt with that in 18-149, a docket I think you participated in; am I right?
A. (Goulding) 18-049.
Q. 049. Thank you for the correction.

And it was there that there was two things discussed: The 2015 settlement agreement regarding divestiture and the provision in there on exogenous events; is that right?
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A. (Goulding) Yes.
Q. And do you recall what the discussion or the conclusion that the Commission reached regarding the exogenous events provision?
A. (Goulding) Yes, that the exogenous event provision required the rate adjustment effective July 1st, 2019, for any exogenous events, which the tax change was an exogenous event, and/or the earlier of a rate case filing.
Q. And so consistent with the Commission -- with the Company's statement that they're delaying the filing of the rate case for whatever reason until next year, the Company accounted for that change with the tax change, which did account as an exogenous event; is that right? Is that fair to say?
A. (Goulding) Yes, there's dollars being deferred as exogenous event, and they would be refunded effective July 1st. We've agreed to make a rate case -- filing a rate review that will use 2018 calendar year. So it will be filed at the same time as an exogenous event change would occur.
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Q. Very good. And I just wanted to make sure that was just in this record.

I had a question, and I'm not sure who this is for. One of the references that we've heard with respect to the budget is that the level of expenditure is reasonable in light of past levels of vegetation management expenditures. Is there any other basis upon which you established this proposed budget?
A. (Allen) So our historical funding has been -this year it was $\$ 44$ million for vegetation management in New Hampshire. So as Chris said, $\$ 19$ million was part of the REP programs for this year, traditional REP programs, ETT/hazard tree removal and full-width clearance. We're asking for 16.8, which is a reduction in that 19 million from 2018.
Q. Do you ever conduct any -- and this is sort of a segue to Mr. Demmer's questions. Do you ever conduct any cost/benefit analysis about whether certain activities, investing more money would not marginally increase the
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benefits to customers, so to modify the budget to reflect the non-cost benefit items?
A. (Allen) In my 40 years of arbor culture, I don't think I have the skills to answer that question. It's a great question, but $I$ don't think I'm the right person to answer.
Q. So it sounds like there's been no cost/benefit analysis.
A. (Allen) I think there is, but I'm not the person that performs them.

MS. AMIDON: Okay. I'm going to -with your permission, Mr. Chairman, Mr.

Demmer has a few questions.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Demmer.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. DEMMER:
Q. Okay. So, this --

MR. DEMMER: Thank you.
Q. So this question is for Mr. Allen. I'd like you to explain the determination of ETT candidates, how you choose your ETT candidates.

MS. AMIDON: Are we good?
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We are. Most
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of us are.
A. (Allen) So, ETT is decided by the circuit schedule each year. We do approximately 25 percent of our miles each year. We've been trying to do all the backbone miles or the main line miles on ETT. So when we look at the schedule for the next year of our maintenance miles, we look at which circuits have not had ETT treatment on them before. The belief is if we're going to be out there on those streets doing our regular trimming, we'd like to talk to the customers only once and have them only be obstructed by us trimming on the street only once. So while we're trimming our circuit trimming, that's one of the main factors as to how we decide what to do for ETT.

Additionally, we have a daily outage call, where operations, engineering and veg management are on call, and we discuss any outages or trends that have happened the day before. We can find out if there's projects that need to be addressed quicker than that. So some of those ETT projects that might not
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be on the circuit schedule we then put into the mix and decide which ones we can do to make the best benefit for our customers.
Q. So, just to clarify, so your ETT candidates follow the pruning candidates for that year. So they're on a full year cycle, much like pruning is on a full year cycle.
A. (Allen) That's the majority of them. But if there's a trend, we would certainly follow up on that.
Q. All right. Thank you.

As far as ETT, how many years have you done that so far?
A. (Allen) To the level we're doing it, it started -- as I said, I came up here in 2009, and we were doing approximately 25 to 35 miles a year at that point. We moved it up to 50 miles a year. And over the last few years we've been up over 130 miles for two of the years. So ETT as we know it has about a 2007 or so beginning to that program.
Q. Is there a way to measure the effectiveness of that ETT trimming in the way of say, for example, safety, a decrease in tree safety,
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but not just tree safety, but a metric that may be able to point to the effectiveness of that ETT trimming, actually see a correlation between a decrease in safety with the ETT?
A. (Allen) Yes, $I$ believe there is a way to measure that.
Q. Have you -- just off the top of your head, do you know exact numbers? What have you seen as a decrease in safety for tree-related safety for ETT since that time frame?
A. (Allen) So it's a difficult question because of some of the things that Mr. Purington mentioned earlier. The weather changes each year. Trees are not something you can guesstimate how long they're going to last. So we could trim a tree today and it could fail tomorrow. We don't have a chance to say is that tree going to stand. I mean, I think we all know people that last time we saw them they were fine, and next time you hear about them they dropped. Trees are in the same boat.

So we do have a situation where we can look at those circuits and measure their
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safety the following year. And four years later, again we would look at it to make sure it has increased.
Q. So in that particular case where you said you don't really know -- sorry. If a tree is starting to lose its health, fails, falls, obviously that falls underneath the hazard tree part. So the ETT now switches over to kind of a hazard tree type of program. But the metric I'm talking about is that would be under a fallen tree scenario rather than a branch scenario that is under ETT. So is there a way to really accurately capture ETT trimming as far as improvements for ETT? I know you're saying where it could fail later on, but then you could have a metric for falling trees which would capture your hazard tree type of --
A. (Allen) Sure. We measure our tree outages by inside the zone or outside the zone. And that is for both SMT and for ETT. So there's a way to measure it. I don't have the numbers with me today.
Q. Okay. All right. Can you briefly explain
\{DE 18-177\} [Hearing on the Merits) \{12-18-18\}
how you handle the traffic control cost and management for the tree program?
A. (Allen) Yes. It's a difficult topic because it seems to increase every year. So there was a time in my budget where we had a traffic control portion to my budget. After looking around at benchmarks in other utilities, we determined that if we included it in the cost of our contractors going out to bid and included it in their cost per mile, that there would be an incentive for them to manage that cost better. They know where their crews are going to be on any given day. They know if somebody didn't come in one day, they could switch them over. And if they had a detail or a flagger, they could move that person quicker than if we were managing it. So $I$ think in the long run it's a better story for all our customers because the costs are managed between the contractor and the police force or the flagging company, which we would have administrative costs if we were managing it. And I don't know that we would be -- but with limited staff, we
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wouldn't be out in the field every single day at every single detail, whereas the contractor is there and can manage it quicker.
Q. Do they include any administrative costs of them handling the billing to Eversource as part of that bid process?
A. (Allen) If they do, we don't see it. It's part of the cost per mile.
Q. So there's really no breakdown in the bid package as to whether there's administrative costs or any other costs that may be applicable to having that traffic control be part of that bid package.
A. (Allen) We haven't seen that, no.
Q. Okay. And do you monitor by town or any other ways to be able to monitor that traffic control to get a good sense of where it may be going awry?
A. (Allen) Yes, we have a monthly score card meeting with our contractors. And they will let us know if there's a certain town that's caused an issue, is becoming a little more onerous than it previously had been.
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Q. Okay. So as far as the towns, you definitely meet with them. As far as going back and circling back with the contractor, and if anything seems onerous, you then meet with the town?
A. (Allen) Yes.
Q. Okay. All right. Thank you.

My next question is to Mr. Purington. This is Bates Page 19 for the Petition for the Continuation of a Reliability Enhancement Program. So as you can see here, this is the Eversource New Hampshire CAIDI Troubleshooter Primary Region versus Other Regions -(Court Reporter interrupts.)
A. Eversource New Hampshire CAIDI, which is the average customer interruption duration indices for troubleshooters, primary region versus other regions. This is the IEEE criteria. So you do have TMED-type of reductions.

So, looking at this chart, I'm wondering if you can explain how it's pretty much flatlined for, or pretty much similar for after the troubleshooters or before the
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troubleshooters for CAIDI.
A. (Purington) So CAIDI is cause of duration as you know. And within any given year you have outages that may be large outages that we're able to respond quickly to through automated switching, and, you know, that will help reduce the number of outages that are included in CAIDI. And as we automate the system more, we'll see more of that happening. So the pressure on CAIDI will be increasing because we'll miss those large outages where we may have had to manually switch in the 30 minutes or 60 minutes or whatever time period it took.

So your question, you know, is can I explain why it's flatlined?
Q. Or similar.
A. (Purington) I mean, it's just the nature of the outage locations, the duration and, you know, our response to the damage that was incurred during those outages.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Back to Mr. Allen.

Just a follow-up on that last piece of the contractors and the tree trimming. Is
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there an audit process post-completion of a circuit to make sure that those costs are comparable to other circuits?
A. (Allen) Yes. Our arborists are required to do a hundred-percent quality control audit. As far as the costs, we put that contract out to bid and we get a competitive bid process before it's awarded. But the actual checking of the work, which I think is what you're asking, the auditing of the work --
Q. Yes.
A. -- yeah, we do a hundred-percent quality control.
Q. Okay. So my next question is on Bates Page 11 of the Petition for Continuation of the Reliability Enhancement Program. Approximately halfway down the page it talks about -- or you talk about in your statement as to the mileage schedule for 2018 for the Company's trimming activity, and ends with that basically New Hampshire is different than other states, and this is why it takes a little bit longer for these tree crews that come into the state to get acclimated to the
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state. Can you explain the thought process behind that?
A. (Allen) Yes. What $I$ meant when $I$ wrote that was that the crews that we did have come in this year from Global and from Asplundh were from South Carolina, North Carolina, Louisiana and Florida. And beyond the height and density of the trees, the weather, the fact that they're used to working, in their words, on "straight roads," which they weren't seeing up here in New Hampshire, made it a little more difficult for them to get used to doing the work that we needed them to do.
Q. And do you anticipate using or utilizing those type of crews going forward in the 2019 work plan?
A. (Allen) We currently do not. This was an event. It was historic when we had those three Nor'easters in March. So we had to bring crews in to get us back on track.
Q. So that pretty much leads into my last question. When you do the planning for the year, your tree trimming planning and your
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enhanced tree trimming, your hazard tree, what type of contingency plans do you put in place for storms? I mean, I know this one is roughly going to be for until the rate case is coming through, and then you have the rest of the year. But what do you do for the contingency planning for storms and other instances to avoid having those crews come up from Louisiana?
A. (Allen) Great question. We have, as I said, 450 crews on our system across all three states. During those three storms, those three Nor'easters, we did not have to bring in any outside crews. We were able to move our crews internally to where the problems were. The problem is what happened after that, that we had to get back on track. So that's when we brought them back in. And that was all three states felt the brunt of that storm restoration effort.

So one of the things that we do each year is we put the ETT out to bid. We're in
a four-year contract on the maintenance trimming. So those miles are known ahead of
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time for the contractor; therefore, they can keep the stability of their crew roster. That means a lot to the guys. They want to know is there work next year, is there work the year after, because tree trimming is a very difficult job. And if they can find another job to go to, oftentimes they will. But if there's work for four years, we found that that's the best way to keep a stable roster. However, we put the ETT out every year, and we put it out twice, two different bids, which gets other contractors to come in and bid in case they missed out on another utility's bid. So this year with Western Mass. Electric, we put some work out for next year, and Davey Tree came and won some of that work. We hadn't had them in our system in over five years. So I think that's a good story that we have these bids go out in the beginning of the year and again later on in the year. It gives us more crews onto our system in the event of a storm.
Q. If you were to come up against that, where you did have some storms coming in and you
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saw or you had some sort of prediction that you weren't going to be able to make the plan, you needed to increase crews, which could increase cost because you're going outside the bid package, do you have a process in place of an overage or cost control, where you can then, if they need to come back, look for additional expenditures?
A. (Allen) Based on the storm? No, based on overall work plan. For example, if you do a $\$ 16$ million work plan and you have storms throughout the year, you have to get different crews. It costs you more, and you suspect your work plan is going to come \$2 million over. What is the point that you end up to coming back to the staff and say this is going to cost a lot more than we thought? And do you adjustment your mileage to get to a budget, or do you allow yourself to go over budget?
A. (Allen) So we haven't had that issue yet, but we maintain a conversation with staff when we believe there is an issue. This year I thought there might be issue, and I did talk
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to staff about it. We have -- we're part of a mutual aid group, so when there's a need for more crews to come in if it is a large storm event, Asplundh is one of our major contractors, so they will be able to get us other crews if need be.
Q. But the ones that were Global were because Asplundh couldn't give you crews.
A.
(Allen) We sent a request out to all the companies we had working on our system and we asked for X -amount crews from each of them and Asplundh was able to give us 32 . We had asked for more than that, so we went to Global. So...
Q. And I just had one last question. As far as the ETT trimming, you had said you do it coincident with the four-year cycle trim. Does the cycle trim reflect the ETT crews working on the mileage on the cycle trim? For example, if you have 2,000 miles in your cycle trim for the year, and now you're taking out X -amount of miles for ETT, I'm assuming those ETT crews are probably doing a cycle trim at the same time as they're doing
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ETT trimming. Has that been taken out of the mileage, or has that been in addition to the mileage as far as cost?
A.
(Allen) Yes, we reported it when it was a capital expenditure. We reported it separately as the miles. So if there's 2,000 and we did 100 on ETT, we would report 1900 on SMT and 100 on ETT to give us the total -So get the balance between the two. Okay. Thank you. That's all I have. MS. AMIDON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Bailey.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Thank You.
INTERROGATORIES BY COMMISSIONERS:
BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
Q. I'm not sure I understand the request that you are asking us to approve, continued funding of approved REP capital investments made through December 31, 2018.
A. (Goulding) So we have capital investments that we've been making under the REP program, and they're supported by funding -- or there's funding out there to support those
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return on and return of the investment. So it's just to continue that funding to support the return on and of those investments that have been made under the REP program.
Q. And by approved REP capital investments, are these things that we have already approved?
A. (Goulding) They are the costs that have been -- or the investments that have been approved to be made under the REP program for the past 2-1/2 years or three years.
Q. And we would make a prudency determination on those in a rate case?
A. (Goulding) That's my expectation.
Q. Okay. And you, in response to somebody's question, I think Ms. Amidon's, said when you -- at the time of the filing you expected to have the two circuit ties in place or in service by the year end.
A. (Goulding) Yes.
Q. Do you still expect they'll be?
A. (Goulding) So $I$ was trying say it that way because I haven't asked recently. So that's why I don't know the status of it. I assume it's still on schedule to be in service. I
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just haven't followed up since I made the filing.
Q. Mr. Purington, do you know?
A. (Purington) I believe they'll be completed by year end. Sorry. So they have been on schedule to be completed by year end. We may go one week over, depending on the contractors. But right now they're saying they're scheduled to be complete by year end.
Q. And where are those located?
A. (Purington) I would have to go back and look at the records. There are two circuit ties. I believe they're in central and eastern region.

COMMISSIONER GIAIMO: I'm going off the top of my memory. Does Hinsdale sound right?

WITNESS PURINGTON: I would have to go back and look. Sorry.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I think all my other questions have been answered. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
Giaimo.
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QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER GIAIMO:
Q. Thank you, gentlemen, for being here. I think I have a couple quick ones that you could answer fairly quickly.

Referring to the -- or continuing on with Commissioner Bailey's question about the two circuit ties, just so I understand, there are no additional circuit ties proposed for 2019?
A. (Purington) There are none.
Q. Okay. On Bates Page 11, Page 2 of the Technical Statement, there's a line that says that the ETT is determined through a competitive bid process and has generally resulted in costs of approximately $\$ 30,000$ to $\$ 40,000$ per mile. And then further on it says Eversource expects prices for that activity to be somewhat higher for 2019. Any idea what "somewhat higher" means?
A. (Allen) I know we put it out to bid. But as I stated earlier, the tree crew complement is limited in New Hampshire.
Q. So if those prices -- if the number is 25 percent higher than expected, would that
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be 25 percent fewer miles would be done?
A. (Allen) We'd have to look at that, yeah.
Q. Okay. I asked this question last year, and now with Mr. Purington here I believe I can get more details. Last year I asked: There are no troubleshooting locations north of Tilton; is that correct?
A. (Purington) That is correct.
Q. Is there a need for anything north of Tilton?
A. (Purington) So it would not be cost-effective to do something north of Tilton. Just the geography, although we did have a number of troubles in Lancaster. We'll look to adjust those by different shift coverage with our existing line workers and continued standby.
Q. So you've actually thought about it and looked into it and determined that it's cost-prohibitive and that the best utilization of funds is Tilton?
A. (Purington) The central and southern region, yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER GIAIMO: That's all
the questions I have.
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CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Bailey, you said you had something else? COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, for Mr. Goulding.

QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY (CONT'D):
Q. In the discussion about offsetting the costs with the tax abatement, $I$ just wanted to clarify or understand for myself, did you mean that if we approve the deferral of these costs until next time you change your rates, that just for -- say the rates change on July 1st. For the first six months of the year, whatever the costs that were deferred would get offset by the excess revenue you have collected for taxes because you haven't changed your rates? So $I$ think it was a $\$ 1.2$ million a month that you were collecting.
A. (Goulding) Right. So right now we're deferring $\$ 1.023$ million a month plus carrying charges. So I anticipate when we get to July 1st, we would have 18 months at that rate, so roughly 18 and a half million dollars deferred to go back to customers.
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And the forecast I have for deferral for the REP program is roughly $\$ 8$ million on July 1st. So you would net the two. So now, instead of being 18 and a half million dollars going back to customers, there would be $\$ 10.5$ million, $18-1 / 2$ minus the 8.
Q.

Okay. That's what I thought. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I have no questions that haven't already been answered. Mr. Fossum, do you have any redirect for your panel?

MR. FOSSUM: Just one.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. FOSSUM:
Q. Mr. Purington, $I$ believe this goes to you. The circuit ties that were discussed, subject to check, would those be circuit ties in Swanzey and Hinsdale? Does that sound accurate to you?
A. (Purington) I'd want to go look. It was what we filed in our 2018 plan that was reviewed with Commission Staff, those two circuit ties.
Q. Fair enough.
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CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Do you want to show him something that would refresh his recollection?

MR. FOSSUM: Yeah.
A. (Purington) If it's in there and it says Swanzey and Hinsdale, yes, that's correct.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I don't think it's that significant, but --

MR. FOSSUM: The point is made, yes.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We appreciate it. Thank you, Mr. Fossum.
A. (Purington) So $I$ would like to just go back because we talked about the troubleshooters north of Tilton. We are in the process of expanding coverage, not part of this proceeding, in the eastern and western regions. It's a different model. And basically what we're looking to do is offset some increased customer -- you know, meet customer expectations in those areas and add additional scheduled line workers to Saturdays and Sundays, which we currently only have a Monday through Friday complement,
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and then cover everything else with standby and volunteer on-call.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Thank you for that clarification. If there's nothing else, I think you gentlemen can stay where you are because it won't take long from here.

Without objection, we'll strike I.D. on Exhibits 1 and 2. We're going to hold the record open for Exhibit 3.

Is there anything else before the parties sum up?
[No verbal response]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kreis, why don't you start us off.

CLOSING STATEMENTS
MR. KREIS: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman.
As William Shakespeare said in
"Much Ado About Nothing," "What need the bridge much broader than the flood? The fairest grant is the necessity." In other words, this proposal that is before you today is a reasonable "bridge" from where we are
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now to where the Company will be on July 1st when it will be here asking you for temporary rates in connection with the thing formally known as a rate case that the Company intends to file sometime in the spring. So, in other words, even though there is no formal settlement agreement before you, the way this case came to you is that Eversource did the OCA and Staff the courtesy of presenting this proposal to us informally as a, I guess you would call it kind of a compromise that deals with the question of the effect of the tax cut and several other issues, in a way that delivers immediate rate relief to consumers and creates a pathway to the rate case that is in the order of a compromise. And we accept it in that spirit. We think it's a reasonable proposal from the Company, and we, or the OCA, urges you to adopt it as in the public interest in that spirit.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, Mr.
Kreis. Ms. Amidon.
MS. AMIDON: Thank you. Staff
supports the proposal. And I understand that
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they need approval to begin the program effective January 1. One proviso, though, is Staff has had issues with this program and its funding and capitalization of certain costs and the merits of the Troubleshooter Program for the past, I would say three years. And when that rate case comes, we will be conducting a comprehensive review of REP and consider the criteria they use to perform the activities under the program; the expense, whether the expense is reasonable; and where that cost recovery occurs, whether in base rate or in maintenance. So $I$ just want to be on the record that Staff does intend to take on and review some of this material in more depth and try to get some of the information that we weren't able to get today. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, Ms. Amidon.

Mr. Fossum.
MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. I'll begin by thanking the Staff and the OCA for their support this afternoon and for reviewing
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this. The timing on this was, I'll say, less than ideal from I think all perspectives. But on the Company's behalf, I deeply appreciate the time and effort that they took to speak with us to work through these issues that we had in getting to the filing that's before you this afternoon.

The Company, too, obviously supports the filing and requests that it be approved, recognizing it, as we have described it, as a "bridge" to get the Company from where we are today to the rate filing that we'll be making next year once we finish out calendar year 2018. And we would -- due to the fact that there are some changes that need to take place January 1st of this year, we would request that any order be issued in sufficient time to make those changes -- for instance, as for the accounting treatment.

Closing out, with reference to the Staff's statement, I can assure the Company is acutely aware of how long it has been since we've been in a full rate proceeding
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and that that length of time and the number of things that have changed in that time are going to present a host of issues that will require a great deal of time and effort to explore. Those items that have been covered by REP are among them. We're aware of that. We're prepared to undertake that analysis and participate in that activity. But for today, I would ask that the Commission approve the filing as submitted, and we will return with the outstanding record request as quickly as we're able.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, Mr.
Fossum. With that, we will close the hearing, hold the record open for Exhibit 3, and otherwise adjourn and issue an order as quickly as we can.
(Hearing adjourned at 2:51 p.m.)
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| 5:10;6:21;41:1,6; | 49:3,13;50:3,6,8,11, | December (2) | 41:8;54:13;55:17 | 39:21 |
| 42:13,18;45:5,13; | 18,23 | 16:3;51:20 | Difference (3) | due (2) |
| 49:7 | criteria (15) | decide (2) | 17:3;21:7,24 | 9:2;62:15 |
| conversation (1) | 21:20,22,23,24; | 37:16;38:2 | different (7) | duly (1) |
| 49:22 | 22:1;24:2,3,7,20; | decided (2) | $25: 8,9 ; 45: 21$ | 4:18 |
| coordination (1) | 25:13,18;26:10,17; | 29:11;37:2 | 48:11;49:13;55:14; | duration (6) |
| 5:23 | 43:19;61:9 | decrease (6) | 58:18 | 22:10;23:1,23; |
| copied (1) | critical (1) | 17:4;19:17;23:9; | difficult (5) | 43:16;44:2,19 |
| 22:16 | 25:11 | 38:24;39:4,9 | 29:18;39:11;41:3; | during (2) |
| copier (1) | cross (1) | decreased (1) | 46:12;48:6 | 44:21;47:12 |
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|  | 35:9 | 58:16 | familiar (1) | 46:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | ETT (30) | expect (2) | 20:18 | folks (1) |
|  | 11:15;36:20,21; | 28:11;52 | far (8) | 12:22 |
| earlier (5) | 37:2,6,9,17,24;38:4, | expectation (2) | 38:12,13;40:14; | follow (2) |
| 16:12;32:2;34:9; | 12,20,23;39:3,4,10; | $28: 15 ; 52: 13$ | $43: 1,2 ; 45: 6 ; 50: 15$ | 38:5,9 |
| 39:13;54:21 | $40: 8,12,13,14,2$ | expectations (1) | 51:3 | followed ( |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { earliest (1) } \\ 10: 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 22 ; 48: 10 ; 50: 16, \\ & 18,22,23 ; 51: 1,7,8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 58: 21 \\ \text { expected (2) } \end{array}$ | $6: 18$ | following (1) |
| easiest (1) | 54:13 | 52:16;54:2 | feeling (1) | 40:1 |
| 18:14 | ETT/hazard (3) | expects (1) | 24:17 | follow-up (2) |
| eastern (2) | 17:13;31:9;35:16 | 4:1 | felt (1) | 31:14;44:23 |
| 53:13;58:17 | even (1) 60:6 | expenditure (2) 35:6;51:5 | $47: 19$ (3) | $\begin{gathered} \text { orce } \\ 5: 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { easy (1) } \\ 15: 12 \end{array}$ | event (11) | expenditures (2) |  | forecast (1) |
| economy (1) | 10:4;16:16;22:4 | 35:8;49:8 | fewer (1) | 57:1 |
| 29:16 | 34:5,9,16,19,24 | expense (4) | $55: 1$ field (1) | forecasted (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { education (2) } \\ 6: 10 ; 7: 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:19;48:22;50:- } \\ & \text { events (4) } \end{aligned}$ | 15:22;31:1;61:1 <br> 11 | field (1) $42: 1$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 16:5 } \\ \text { forested (2) } \end{gathered}$ |
| effect (1) | 27:2;33:23;34:4,8 | expenses (2) | file (5) | 13:15,16 |
| 60:12 | Eversource (15) | 16:1;28:8 | 7:24;9:7,21;32:23; | forget (1) |
| effective | 3:11;5:7,15,21; | expensive (1) | 60:5 | $22: 9$ formal (1) |
| 9:11,17;15:23; | 7:13,18;12:15;20:17; | 28:21 | filed (4) | formal (1) |
| 18:3;20:1;33:12; | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 24 ; 29: 9 ; 42: 6 ; \\ & 43: 12,15 ; 54: 17 ; 60: 8 \end{aligned}$ | experience (2) 6:10;7:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 17 ; 25: 23 ; 34: 23 ; \\ & 57: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 60:6 |
| effectively (1) | Eversource's (1) | experienced (2) | filing (38) | 60:3 |
| $11: 23$ | 3:4 | 18:20;27:8 | 4:2,9,10;8:21;9:5; | forth (1) |
| effectiveness (2) | exact (1) | explain (8) | 10:4;13:9:14:7,8,9, | 13:13 |
| 38:22;39:2 | 39:8 | 14:2;15:4;18:11 | 10,13;15:9;16:17; | forward (3) |
| effort (3) | $\underset{4: 20: 57: 13}{\text { EXAMINATION (2) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 20 ; 40: 24 ; 43: 22 ; \\ & 44: 16 ; 46: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:7;21:3,10,12;24:4, } \\ & \text { 16;25:5;26:3,16; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:5;32:12;46:16 } \\ & \text { FOSSUM (18) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 47:20;62:4;63:4 electric (6) | example (3) | 44:16;46:1 <br> explanation (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16;25:5;26:3,16; } \\ & \text { 28:14;32:9,19,21; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FOSSUM (18) } \\ 3: 7,10,24 ; 4: 7,21 ; \end{array}$ |
| electric (6) $5: 6,8 ; 6: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { example (3) } \\ & 38: 24 ; 49: 10 ; 50: 20 \end{aligned}$ | explanation (2) $23: 12 ; 26: 10$ | $33: 2,4 ; 34: 10,13,21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 7,10,24 ; 4: 7,21 ; \\ & 20: 8 ; 25: 15,20 ; 26: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| 48:15 | Exceedingly (1) | explore (1) | 52:16;53:2;62:6,9, | 57:10,12,14;58:4,9, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { else (6) } \\ & 3: 6 ; 4: 13 ; 56: 2 ; 59: 1, \\ & 5,11 \end{aligned}$ | 25:2 | 63:5 | 13;63:10 | 12;61:21,22;63:14 |
|  | exception (1) | expression (1) | filings (3) | found (1) |
|  | 22:4 | 32:7 | 25:6,12;26:2 | 48:8 |
| employed (1) | excess (1) $56: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extension } \\ & 9: 23 \end{aligned}$ | final (1) | four (2) <br> 40:1-48•8 |
| 5:21 | excluded (1) |  | finally | four-year (2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 14: 12,20 ; 32: 10,24 \\ & \text { employment (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 27:2 | F | 13:7 | 47:23;50:17 |
|  | excludes (1) |  | Finance (1) | frame (1) |
| 5:3 | 22:4 | fact (4) | 3:18 | 39:10 |
|  | excluding (1) | 13:17;27:23;46:9; | find (3) | Friday (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { :15;16:6;24:23; } \\ & \text { 25:23;28:12,17; } \end{aligned}$ | 27:19 | 62:15 | 29:17;37:22;48:6 | 58:24 |
|  | exclusively (1) | factors (1) | fine (2) | full (3) |
| 32:23;49:16;52:18; | 17:21 | 37:16 | 3:16;39:20 | 38:6,7;62:24 |
| 53:5,6,9 | excuse (1) | fail (2) $39 \cdot 17 \cdot 40 \cdot 15$ | finish (1) | full-width (3) 10:19,24:35: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { ends (1) } \\ 45: 20 \end{array}$ | 21:21 | fails (1) | first (2) | fund (1) |
| Energy (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 10 ; 7: 24 ; 20: 13, \\ & 23 ; 21: 2,7,8,9,15,16, \end{aligned}$ | 40:6 | 27:21;56:12 | 9:23 |
| 3:12;6:1 |  | fair (6) | fiscal (1) | funding (23) |
| $\underset{37.19}{\text { engineering (1) }}$ |  | 11:22;17:18;22:6, | 19:11 | 9:13,14;11:7,10, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 37:19 } \\ & \text { enhanced (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $24: 12 ; 25: 17 ; 26: 4,7$ | 23;34:17;57:24 | five (2) | 16;12:3;14:14,17,19; |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 20 ; 27: 23 ; 59: 10 ; \\ & 63: 15 \end{aligned}$ | fairest (1) | 26:15;48:18 | 15:11,12,16;16:9,15; |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { enhanced (2) } \\ 10: 18 ; 47: 1 \end{gathered}$ |  | 59:22 | flagger (1) | 30:17,19,24;35:11; |
| Enhancement (6) | Exhibits (1) | fairly (1) | $41: 16$ | 51:19,23,24;52:2; |
| 3:5;13:10;20:16, | 59:9 | $54: 4$ <br> fallen (1) | $\underset{41: 21}{\mid f l a g g i n g ~(1) ~}$ | 61:4 <br> funds (2) |
| 24;43:10;45:16 | 14:23;55:15 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { fallen (1) } \\ 40: 11 \end{array}$ | 41:21 <br> flatlined (2) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { funds (2) } \\ \text { 17:19;55:19 } \end{array}$ |
| $57: 24$ | exogenous (10) | falling (1) | 193:23;44:16 | further (1) |
| essentially (1) | 10:4;16:16;33:23; | 40:17 | flood (1) | 54:16 |
|  | 34:4,5,7,8,16,19,23 | falls (2) | 59:21 |  |
| established (1) | expanding (1) | 40:6,7 | Florida (1) |  |


|  | half (3) |  | $\begin{gathered} 28: 8 \\ \text { inclusion (1) } \\ 14: 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { investments }(\mathbf{9}) \\ & 9: 15 ; 16: 2,3 ; 28: 4 ; \\ & 51: 19,21 ; 52: 3,5,8 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G | $14: 16 ; 56: 23 ; 57: 4$ | $20: 10 ; 24: 10 ; 25: 2,15$ |  |  |
| gas (3) | $\begin{gathered} \text { halfway (1) } \\ 45: 17 \end{gathered}$ | $: 14,24 ; 51: 12$ |  | investor-owned (1) |
| 6:22,23 | Hampshire (15) | $53: 23 ; 56: 1 ; 57: 8$ $58 \cdot 17.11 \cdot 59 \cdot 3.14$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 6 ; 18: 18 ; 19: 4 \\ & 22: 8 ; 35: 24 ; 41: 4 \\ & 49: 3,4 \end{aligned}$ | 3:16 |
| generally (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 11 ; 5: 17,20 ; 6: 15 \\ & 17 ; 7: 15 ; 13: 1,13,17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 1,7,11 ; 59: 3,14 \\ & 60: 21 ; 61: 19 ; 63: 13 \end{aligned}$ |  | issue (9) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 13 ; 43: 12,15 ; \\ & 45: 21 ; 46: 11 ; 54: 22 \end{aligned}$ | honors (1) | 49:3,4 <br> increased (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:8,11;13:22; } \\ & \text { 15:2;42:23;49:21, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { gentlemen (2) } \\ 54: 2 ; 59: 5 \end{gathered}$ |  | honors (1) $4: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { increased (3) } \\ & 23: 2 ; 40: 3 ; 58: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 2 ; 42: 23 \\ & 24 ; 63: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| geography (1) | hand (1) | host (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { increases (1) } \\ & 23: 3 \end{aligned}$ | issued (2) |
| 55:12 | 20:14 | 63: |  | 18:2;62:18 |
| gets (1) | handle (2) | How's (1) | increasing (1) 44:11 | issues (5) |
| 48:12 | 29:1;41: | human (1) |  | 10:13;60:13;61 |
| GIAIMO (4) | handling (1) |  | incurred (1) | 62:5;63 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 53: 15,24 ; 54: 1 ; \\ & 55: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42: 6 \\ \text { happened (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hundred-percent (2) } \\ & 45: 5,12 \end{aligned}$ | Indeed (2) |  |
| Given (5) | 12:23;37:21;47:16happening (1) |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 22: 19 \\ \text { item (2) } \end{array}$ |
|  |  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:10;26:22 } \\ & \text { indefinitely (1) } \\ & 9: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { item (2) } \\ 15: 22,23 \end{array}$ |
| gives (3) | happy (1) |  | index (1) | 18:5;36:2;63:5 |
| 16:4,7;48:2 | 3:19 hazard (6) | Iberdrola (1) | indices (1) | J |
| Global (3) | hazard (6) $11: 15,19 \cdot 40: 7,9$ | $6: 20$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:5;50:7,14 } \\ & \text { goes (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 15,19 ; 40: 7,9, \\ & 17 ; 47: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $7: 16 ; 12: 12$ | indulgence (1) | James (1) |
| 13:12;57:15 | head (1) |  | 20:12 | 3:18 |
| Good (9) | $\begin{gathered} 39: 7 \\ \text { health (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59: 9 \\ \text { idea (1) } \end{array}$ | informally (1) | January (10) |
| 3:2,7,13; | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { health (1) } \\ 40: 6 \end{array}$ | idea (1)$54: 19$ | $60: 10$ <br> information (4) | 9:12,17;10:10; |
| 35:1;36:23;42:18 |  |  | information (4) | 15:23;18:3,17;20:1; |
| 48:18 GOULDI | hear (1) $39: 20$ | ideal (1) $62: 2$ | 8:7,13;26:8;61:17 | job (2) |
| GOULDING (41) | heard (1) | identification (4) | $29: 4$ inside | 48:6,7 |
| 8:5,11,17,19,23;15:4, | 35:5 | 4:9,11;7:24;20:14 | 40:20 | Joe (1) |
| 8;16:21;17:1,11,23; | hearing (3) | identified (3) |  | 13:14 |
| 18:14;28:2,5,10,14; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:23;63:15,18 } \\ & \text { heavily (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 20 ; 15: 2 ; 18: 10 \\ & \text { identify }(\mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { instance (2) } \\ & 23: 16 ; 62: 19 \end{aligned}$ | JOSEPH (2) |
| 30:7,10,14,16,19; |  |  |  | 4:16;5:5 |
| 31:2,6,18;33:6,14,18; | $13: 15$hedge (1) | $15: 13$ | instances (1) | July (9) |
| 34:1,5,18;51:21;52:7, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IEEE (7) } \\ & 21: 23 ; 22: 1,3,4 ; \\ & 24: 3: 26: 9: 43: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 47:8 instead (1) | 10:5;16:16;19:23; 34:7,20;56:12,22; |
| 13,19,21;56:4,19 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { hedge (1) } \\ 10: 20 \end{array}$ |  | 57:4 | $34: 7,20 ; 56: 12,22 ;$ $57 \cdot 3 \cdot 60 \cdot 1$ |
| $\underset{59.22}{\text { grant (1) }}$ | height ${ }_{46: 7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:3;26:9;43:18 } \\ & \text { immediate (2) } \end{aligned}$ |  | June (1)$19: 24$ |
| graph (6) 21:1,7,8,15,19.23.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { help (3) } \\ & 21: 6 ; 27: 10 ; 44: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{28: 3}{\text { 26:12;60:14 }} \underset{\text { impact }(1)}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:22;61:15 } \\ & \text { intends }(\mathbf{2}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:1,7,8,15,19;23:5 } \\ & \text { graphs (2) } \end{aligned}$ | ```helped (2) 12:22;13:2 helpful (1)``` |  | intends (2) $4: 1 ; 60: 4$ a | K |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { graphs }(\mathbf{2}) \\ 21: 20,22 \end{array}$ |  | implementation (1) 5:22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { interest (1) } \\ 60: 20 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| great (4) | $\begin{gathered} \text { helpful (1) } \\ 26: 9 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { internally (1) } \\ 47: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { keep (2) } \\ 48: 2,9 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12: 10 \\ & 63: 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\operatorname{improvement~}_{9: 1}^{\text {imp }}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 48: 2,9 \\ \text { kind (4) } \end{array}$ |
| group (1) | $12: 19$ <br> higher (4) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { improvements (1) } \\ 40: 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INTERROGATORIES (1) } \\ & 51: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 11 ; 30: 20 ; 40: 9 ; \\ & 60: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 50:2 | $11: 16 ; 54: 18,19,24$ |  |  |  |
| grow (1) |  | incarnation (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { interrupting (1) } \\ & 23: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { knowledge (1) } \\ 29: 7 \end{array}$ |
| $13: 19$ guess (4) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Hinsdale (3) } \\ 53: 16 ; 57: 18 ; 58: 6 \end{array}$ | incentive (1)$41: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { interruption (1) } \\ & 43: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { known (3) } \\ & 24: 5 ; 47: 24 ; 60: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3:8;8:18;21:3; | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { historic (1) } \\ 46: 19 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 60:10 |  | 41:11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { interrupts (1) } \\ 43: 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { knows (1) } \\ 30: 16 \end{gathered}$ |
| guessing (1) | ```historical (1) 35:11 historically (1) 25:22 hold (2) 59:10;63:15 holidays (1) 3:19 HONIGBERG (25)``` | ```12:6 include (3) 5:8,16;42:5 included (6) 6:21;17:8;18:6; 41:8,10;44:8 includes (2) 5:9;11:17 Including (1)``` |  |  |
| 25:8 |  |  | ```into (9) 12:23;17:21;29:8, 16;32:24;38:1;45:24; 46:22;55:17 investing (1) 35:23 investment (4) 17:12;28:9,10;52:1``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KREIS (19) } \\ & \text { 3:13,14;20:10,11, } \\ & \text { 20;21:9;22:22;24:10, } \\ & \text { 13;25:10;26:22,23; } \\ & \text { 27:9,10,11; } 29: 20 ; \\ & 59: 14,17 ; 60: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| guesstimate (1) |  |  |  |  |
| $39: 15$ guys (1) |  |  |  |  |
| 48:3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| H |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| L | $\begin{gathered} 22: 11,12 ; 45: 23 \\ \text { look (13) } \\ 17: 2 ; 25: 8,9 ; 37: 6,8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{mark}(\mathbf{1}) \\ & \text { 20:13 } \\ & \text { marked (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18,19;47:24;50:20, } \\ & \text { 22;51:6;55:1 } \\ & \text { million (32) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50: 2 \\ \text { myself (1) } \\ 56: 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lancaster (1) $55: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 24 ; 40: 2 ; 49: 8 \\ & 53: 11,19 ; 55: 2,13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:8;7:23 } \\ & \text { Mass (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 13 ; 11: 14,14,15 \\ & \text { 20;15:15,24;16:5,8,9, } \end{aligned}$ | N |
| large (5) | 57:20 | 48:15 | 10,11,22;17:5,15; |  |
| 12:16;13:3;44:4, | looked (2) | Massachusetts (4) | 19:7,10,12;28:5; | name (3) |
| 11;50:3 | 29:8;55:17 | 5:18;7:11,15;12:20 | 30:10;33:11;35:12, | 5:2,5,19 |
| largest (1) | looking (6) | material (1) | 14,18;49:11,15; | name's (1) |
| 13:11 | 20:6;22:6,23;41:7; | 61:16 | 56:17,20,23;57:2,4,6 | 5:13 |
| last (14) | 43:21;58:19 | matter (4) | minor (1) | nature (2) |
| 7:13;9:4;18:8,16; | looks (1) | 13:18;23:11,14,15 | 27:18 | 23:21;44:18 |
| 19:3;26:3;38:18; | 25:5 | Matthew (1) | minus (1) | necessary (3) |
| 39:15,19;44:23; | lose (1) | 3:9 | 57:6 | 13:21;16:8,17 |
| 46:22;50:15;55:3,5 | 40:6 | Maurice (1) | minutes (2) | necessity (2) |
| late (1) | lot (2) | 3:14 | 44:13,13 | 24:18;59:22 |
| 14:7 | 48:3;49:17 | may (6) | mismatch (1) | need (10) |
| later (3)40:2,15:48.20 | Louisiana (2) | 39:2;42:12,18; | 26:11 | 18:23;33:7;37:23; |
|  | 46:7;47:9 | 44:4,12;53:6 | miss (1) | 49:7;50:2,6;55:9; |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { leads (1) } \\ 46: 22 \end{gathered}$ | low (1) | maybe (3) | 44:11 | 59:20;61:1;62:16 |
|  | 23:2 | 13:14;21:4;28:1 | missed (1) | needed (2) |
| least (1) | M | mean (8) $25: 7,20 ; 27: 20$ | mix (1) | $\begin{gathered} 46: 13 ; 4 \\ \text { needs (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| leave (2) |  | 32:11;39:18;44:18; | 38:2 | 3:8 |
| 6:4;24:14 | main (2) | 47:3;56:9 | model (1) | Neither (1) |
| legible (1) | 37:6,16 | meaning (1) | 58:18 | 21:15 |
| 22:20 | Maine (5) | 22:11 | modify (1) | net (1) |
| length (1) | 6:13;7:2,2;13:13, | means (2) | 36:1 | 57:3 |
| $63: 1$ | 16 | 48:3;54:19 | Monday (1) | netting (1) |
| lengthy (1) | maintain (1) | meant (2) | 58:24 | 20:1 |
| 33:8 | 49:22 | 32:2;46:3 | money (1) | New (18) |
| less (1) | maintenance (4) | measure (5) | 35:24 | $3: 11 ; 5: 17,20 ; 6: 14$ |
| $62: 1$ level (5) | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 3 ; 37: 8 ; 47: 23 ; \\ & 61: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 22 ; 39: 6,24 ; \\ & 40: 19,22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { monitor (2) } \\ 42: 16,17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17,23,24;7:1,15;13:1, } \\ & \text { 13,17;35:13;43:12, } \end{aligned}$ |
| level (5) 10:2•1 | $\begin{gathered} 61: 13 \\ \text { major (3) } \end{gathered}$ | Medicare (3) | month (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13,17;35:13;43:12, } \\ & 15 ; 45: 21 ; 46: 11 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| 35:6;38:14 | 22:4;27:1;50:4 | 9:19,20;19:19 | 27:17;56:17,20 | 54:22 |
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| sister (1) | 39:18 | 24:17 |  | ties (8) |
| 12:18 | standards (1) | stuff (1) | T | 28:1;52:17;53:12; |
| situation (1) | 25:4 | 12:19 |  | 54:7,8;57:16,17,23 |
| 39:23 | standby (2) | Subject (2) | talk (4) | Tilton (5) |
| six (1) | 55:15;59:1 | 27:6;57:16 | 33:9;37:12;45:18; | 55:7,9,11,19;58:15 |
| 56:12 | stands (1) | subjective (1) | 49:24 | timing (1) |
| skills (1) | 22:9 | 27:16 | talked (3) | 62:1 |
| 36:4 | start (4) | submit (1) | 16:11;31:24;58:14 | TMED-type (1) |
| slight (1) | 4:22;10:14;18:16; | 26:17 | talking (2) | 43:19 |
| 17:4 | 59:15 | submitted (1) | 31:4;40:10 | today (9) |
| small (1) | started (1) | 63:10 | talks (1) | 3:9,17;32:3;39:16; |
| 27:13 | 38:15 | substation (1) | 45:17 | 40:23;59:23;61:18; |
| SMT (2) | Starting (2) | 5:11 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a x }}(8)$ | 62:12;63:8 |
| 40:21;51:8 | 23:2;40:6 | sufficient (1) | 10:7,9;16:19; | together (1) |


| 20:2 | trouble (1) | 20:3;23:20;29:6; | volunteer (1) | worked (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tomorrow (1) | 28:24 | 38:9,15,18,19;46:11; | 59:2 | 7:14,19 |
| 39:17 | troubles (1) | 47:8;48:23;49:16; |  | workers (2) |
| took (4) | 55:13 | 53:1;59:12 | W | 55:15;58:22 |
| 7:17;23:19;44:14; | troubleshoot (1) | upon (1) |  | working (3) |
| 62:4 | 32:8 | 35:9 | way (11) | 46:9;50:10,19 |
| top (2) | Troubleshooter (7) | urges (1) | 25:21;26:10;38:22, | written (1) |
| 39:7;53:16 | 9:13;14:17;15:16; | 60:19 | 23;39:5;40:13,22; | 18:12 |
| topic (1) | 21:10;32:13;43:12; | USA (1) | 48:9;52:21;60:7,13 | wrote (1) |
| 41:3 | 61:5 | 6:20 | ways (1) | 46:3 |
| total (5) | troubleshooters (7) | use (6) | 42:17 |  |
| 11:19;16:4,7;19:8; | 14:2,3,14;43:17, | 24:6,19;28:22; | weather (6) | X |
| 51:8 | 24;44:1;58:14 | 29:19;34:22;61:9 | 23:11,14,22,23; |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { town }(3) \\ & 42: 16,22 ; 43: 5 \end{aligned}$ | troubleshooting (1) 55:6 | used (4) $32: 3,7 ; 46: 9,13$ | 39:13;46:8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { X-amount (2) } \\ 50: 11,22 \end{gathered}$ |
| towns (1) | truck (1) | uses (1) | 53:7 |  |
| 43:1 | 23:17 | 28:18 | weeks (1) | Y |
| track (2) | true (2) | using (11) | 23:17 |  |
| 46:21;47:17 | 12:1;26:24 | 9:22;14:9;24:2; | weren't (3) | year (59) |
| traditional (1) | try (2) | 25:4,6,13,18;26:9,16; | 46:11;49:2;61:17 | 7:12;9:14;11:18; |
| 35:15 | 29:17;61:16 | 29:3;46:15 | Western (2) | 12:15,17;14:10,11; |
| traffic (4) | Trying (3) | utilities (1) | 48:14;58:17 | 16:6;18:16;19:3,11; |
| 41:1,6;42:13,17 | 27:10;37:5;52:21 | 41:8 | what's (6) | 23:9,22;25:23;26:1, |
| trained (1) | turn (4) | utility (3) | 11:3;15:13;18:11; | 3;28:12,17;32:23; |
| 29:15 | 15:9;18:15;19:1,14 | 3:15,17;6:16 | 21:23;28:2;30:10 | 34:14,22;35:12,15; |
| transmission (2) | turning (1) | utility's (1) | whereas (1) | 37:3,4,7;38:5,6,7,17, |
| 5:9;6:22 | 7:21 | 48:14 | 42:2 | 18;39:14;40:1;41:4; |
| treat (2) | twice (1) | utilization (1) | WHEREUPON (1) | 44:3;46:5,24;47:6, |
| 9:11;18:4 | 48:11 | 55:19 | 4:16 | 22;48:4,5,11,14,16, |
| treated (1) | two (13) | utilizing (1) | whichever (1) | $20,21 ; 49: 12,23$ |
| 18:3 | $28: 1,4,11,20$ | 46:15 | 21:4 | $50: 21 ; 52: 18 ; 53: 5,6$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { treatment (5) } \\ & 9: 9: 15: 21: 18: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 20 ; 38: 19 ; 48: 11 ; \\ & 51: 9: 52: 17: 53: 12: \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { white (1) } \\ 22: 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 ; 55: 3,5 ; 56: 13 ; \\ & 62: 13,14,17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:9;15:21;18:2; } \\ & 37: 9 ; 62: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 9 ; 52: 17 ; 53: 12 ; \\ & 54: 7 ; 57: 3,22 \end{aligned}$ | V | $\begin{gathered} 22: 16 \\ \text { whole (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $62: 13,14,17$ <br> year-end (1) |
| tree (37) | type (5) | validate (1) | 21:13,14,17 | $17: 11$ |
| 10:18,20;11:15,19; | 23:23;40:9,18; | 27:5 | William (1) | years (13) |
| 12:12,14,17;13:3; | 46:16;47:2 | valuable (1) | 59:19 | 6:15;9:1;12:24; |
| 17:13;28:19,20,22, | types (4) | 27:12 | wires (1) | $36: 3 ; 38: 12,19,20$ |
| 23;29:1,4,14;31:9; | 10:17,21;23:15,24 | value (1) | 13:19 | $40: 1 ; 48: 8,18 ; 52: 10$ |
| $35: 16 ; 38: 24 ; 39: 1,16$, $18 \cdot 40 \cdot 5,8,9,118,19$ | U | 27:19 | within (1) | $10 ; 61: 7$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18 ; 40: 5,8,9,11,18,19 \\ & 41: 2 ; 44: 24 ; 45: 23 \end{aligned}$ | U | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { variations (1) } \\ 23: 12 \end{array}$ | without (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { year-to-date (1) } \\ & 23: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 46:24;47:1,1;48:5, | under (8) | various (1) | 29:1;32:13;59:8 | York (3) |
| 16;54:21 | 9:2;15:19;40:11, | 10:17 | witness (5) | 6:24,24;7:1 |
| tree-related (1) | 12;51:22;52:4,9; | veg (1) | 4:14;21:5;27:8; |  |
| $39: 9$ | 61:10 | 37:19 | 31:18;53:18 | Z |
| Trees (7) | underneath (1) | vegetation (15) | witnesses (1) |  |
| 13:11,18,20;39:14, | 40:7 | 5:14,17;7:17;9:9; | 4:14 | zone (2) |
| 21;40:17;46:8 | undertake (1) | 11:7,13;15:21;16:23; | won (1) | 40:20,20 |
| trend (2) | 63:7 | 17:2,6;31:3,5,7;35:7, | 48:16 |  |
| 23:6;38:9 | undertaken (2) | 12 | wondering (1) | 0 |
| trends (1) | 10:22;11:3 | verbal (1) | 43:21 |  |
| 37:21 | unequivocal (1) | 59:13 | word (1) | 049 (1) |
| trim (6) | 25:1 | versa (1) | 32:2 | 33:19 |
| 39:16;50:17,18,19, | unhelpful (1) | 25:18 | words (5) |  |
| 21,24 | 25:3 | version (1) | $21: 14 ; 27: 6 ; 46: 10$ | 1 |
| trimming (21) | University (2) | 22:18 | 59:23;60:6 |  |
| 10:17,19;11:4; | 6:15;7:11 | versus (2) | work (21) |  |
| 28:19,20;29:4;37:11, | unlike (1) | 43:13,18 | 5:11, 11;12:8,9; | $4: 10 ; 7: 24 ; 21: 2,8$ |
| 14,15,15;38:23;39:3; | 12:23 | vice (1) | 13:5;14:20;15:19; | $15,16,23 ; 25: 17$ |
| 40:14;44:24;45:20; | up (20) | $25: 18$ | 29:16;45:9,10;46:13, | 27:23;33:13;59:9; |
| 46:24;47:1,24;48:5; | $4: 14 ; 7: 16 ; 10: 13$ | vice-president (1) | $17 ; 48: 4,4,8,15,17$ | 61:2 |
| 50:16;51:1 | $24 ; 12: 13,24 ; 13: 1$ | 5:6 | 49:10,11,14;62:5 | 10 (1) |


| $27 \cdot 22$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 (2) | 2015 (3) | 5 |  |  |
| 51:7,8 | 22:10;23:3;33:21 |  |  |  |
| 107 (1) | 2016 (2) | 50 (1) |  |  |
| 23:8 | 11:12;23:3 | 38:18 |  |  |
| 11 (3) | 2017 (10) |  |  |  |
| 27:18;45:15;54:11 | 19:23;20:15,23; | 6 |  |  |
| 16:6 | 23:4;24:3;27:4 | 60 (1) |  |  |
| 130 (1) | 2018 (23) | 44:13 |  |  |
| 38:19 | 9:15,17,22;10:10, |  |  |  |
| 16.8 (2) | 24;11:13,18;14:7,9; | 8 |  |  |
| 17:8;35:17 | $16: 3 ; 17: 11 ; 18: 17,20$ |  |  |  |
| 160 (3) | 19:24;23:7,8;27:24; | 8 (1) |  |  |
| 12:14;29:14,17 | 34:22;35:19;45:19; | 57:6 |  |  |
| 16th (2) | 51:20;57:21;62:14 | $85(1)$ |  |  |
| 4:10;7:22 | 2019 (17) | $12: 12$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 17 \text { (4) } \\ & \quad 18: 22 ; 21: 2,8,16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 7,12,22 ; 11: 22 \\ & 14: 4,9,22 ; 15: 11,15 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 17-076 (1) | 16,23;17:10;33:1; |  |  |  |
| 19:20 | 34:7;46:16;54:9,18 |  |  |  |
| 17-160 (2) | 2-1/2 (1) |  |  |  |
| 18:18;19:4 | 52:10 |  |  |  |
| 17-196 (1) | 22 (2) |  |  |  |
| 20:18 | 15:9,10 |  |  |  |
| 18 (3) | 25 (4) |  |  |  |
| 56:22,23;57:4 | 37:4;38:16;54:24; |  |  |  |
| 18-049 (1) | 55:1 |  |  |  |
| 33:18 | 3 |  |  |  |
| $57: 6$ | 3 |  |  |  |
| 18-149 (1) | 3 (4) |  |  |  |
| 33:15 | 19:18;26:20;59:10; |  |  |  |
| 18-177 (1) | 63:15 |  |  |  |
| 3:3 | 30 (2) |  |  |  |
| 19 (2) | 6:15;44:13 |  |  |  |
| 35:18;43:9 | 30th (1) |  |  |  |
| 1900 (1) | 19:24 |  |  |  |
| 51:7 | 31 (2) |  |  |  |
| 1st (17) | 7:13;51:20 |  |  |  |
| 9:12,17;10:5,10; | 31st (1) |  |  |  |
| 15:23;16:16;18:3,17; | 16:3 |  |  |  |
| 19:23;20:1;34:7,20; | 32 (1) |  |  |  |
| 56:12,22;57:3;60:1; | 50:12 |  |  |  |
| 62:16 | 35 (1) |  |  |  |
| 2 | 38:17 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |
| 2 (10) |  |  |  |  |
| 13:17;19:16;20:23; | 4,000 (1) |  |  |  |
| 21:7,21;22:7,14,24; | 23:18 |  |  |  |
| 54:11;59:9 | 40 (1) |  |  |  |
| 2,000 (2) | 36:3 |  |  |  |
| 50:20;51:6 | 40th (1) |  |  |  |
| 2:51 (1) | 7:12 |  |  |  |
| 63:18 | 43 (1) |  |  |  |
| 2007 (1) | 19:14 |  |  |  |
| 38:21 | 44 (1) |  |  |  |
| 2008 (1) | 19:2 |  |  |  |
| 12:12 | 45 (2) |  |  |  |
| 2009 (3) | 18:16,21 |  |  |  |
| 7:16;12:13;38:15 | 450 (2) |  |  |  |
| 2014 (1) | 12:16;47:11 |  |  |  |

